## On the possibility of clathrate hydrates on the Moon

## N. S. Duxbury and K. H. Nealson

Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA

## V. E. Romanovsky

Geophysical Institute, University of Alaska, Fairbanks, Alaska

**Abstract.** One of the most important inferences of the Lunar Prospector mission data was the existence of subsurface water ice in the permanently shadowed craters near both lunar poles [Feldman et al., 1998]. We propose and substantiate an alternative explanation that hydrogen can exist in the shallow lunar subsurface in the form of clathrate hydrates:  $CH_4 \cdot 6H_2O$  and/or  $CO_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ .

The Clementine mission in 1994 [Nozette et al., 1994] was the first to suggest the possible existence of subsurface water ice near the poles of the Moon. The Lunar Prospector neutron spectrometer data showed near-surface deposits enriched in hydrogen near both lunar poles under at least 40 cm of lunar regolith (with the assumed density of 2 g/cm<sup>3</sup> [Feldman et al., 1998]).

Scientists estimated that as much as 6 billion metric tons of water ice may be buried in these craters under lunar soil, in more concentrated deposits than originally thought. However, the evidence was indirect, they cautioned, based on reasonable scientific assumptions, given the levels of hydrogen detected, from which water ice was inferred. This conclusion was based on the measurements of the fast and epithermal neutrons by the neutron spectrometer reflected by lunar soil with the source being cosmic rays. We propose that the neutron spectrometer data are also consistent with the existence of clathrate hydrates I, e.g.,  $\operatorname{CH}_4 \cdot \operatorname{6H}_2\operatorname{O}$  and/or  $\operatorname{CO}_2 \cdot \operatorname{6H}_2\operatorname{O}$ , in the shallow subsurface of the Moon. In clathrate hydrates the gas molecule is trapped in a cage by electrical van der Waals bonding.

The controlled crash of NASA's Lunar Prospector spacecraft into a crater near the south pole of the Moon on July 31, 1999, produced no observed signature of water, according to scientists analyzing the data from Earth-based observatories and spacecraft such as the Hubble Space Telescope. Although the chances of positive detection of water were judged to be <10%, worldwide observations of the impact were focused primarily on using sensitive spectrometers tuned to look for the ultraviolet emission lines expected from the hydroxyl (OH) molecules that should be a by-product of any icy rock and dust kicked up by the impact of the spacecraft. This lack of physical evidence leaves open the question of whether ancient cometary impacts delivered ice that remains buried in permanently shadowed regions of the Moon, as suggested by the large amounts of hydrogen measured indirectly from lunar orbit by Lunar Prospector during its main mapping mission [NASA, 1999].

Several possible explanations why any water signature was not detected include the following: the spacecraft might have missed the target area; the spacecraft might have hit a rock or dry soil at the target site; water molecules may have been firmly bound in rocks as a hydrated mineral as opposed to existing as

Copyright 2001 by the American Geophysical Union.

Paper number 2000JE001425. 0148-0227/01/2000JE001425\$09.00

free ice crystals, and the impact lacked enough energy to separate water from hydrated minerals; no water exists in the crater, and the hydrogen detected by the Lunar Prospector spacecraft earlier is simply pure hydrogen; studies of the impact's physical outcome were inadequate; the parameters used to model the plume that resulted from the impact were inadequate; the telescopes used to observe the impact, which have very small fields of view, may not have been pointed correctly; and water and other materials may not have risen above the crater wall or otherwise were directed away from the telescopes' view [Barker et al., 1999; NASA, 1999].

The study of terrestrial gas hydrates emerged not long ago [e.g., Holder and Bishnoi, 2000]. Initially, they were seen as impediments in gas pipelines. The first announcements about discoveries of CH<sub>4</sub> hydrate fields under the permafrost zone in Siberia were made in 1965 [e.g., *Makogon*, 1981; *Sloan*, 1998, p. 8]. In the gas, which evolves upon dissociation from the hydrate deposit under permafrost in Siberia (called Medvezh'e), CH<sub>4</sub> comprises ~98.1% (e.g., Tipenko et al. [1990]; also see there a nonlinear numerical model for gas hydrates formation and dissociation). Similar high methane abundance is found in many other gas hydrate deposits on Earth. Later, gas hydrates were found in Canada and Alaska. The incentive for those studies was that methane clathrate hydrate is a valuable source of fuel and also presents a danger to those searching for oil and gas. Interestingly, discussions of possible occurrences of clathrate hydrates on different solar system bodies did not mention the Moon as a candidate.

According to the chemical analyses of numerous lunar samples from Apollo 11, 12, 14, 15, and 16 landing sites, trapped CH<sub>4</sub> gas is a ubiquitous component of these samples, including samples from different depths [see Pillinger et al., 1977; Abell et al., 1971; Cadogan et al., 1971, 1972; and references therein]. The samples include the Apollo 12 double core, the Apollo 14 surface samples, and the Apollo 15 and 16 deep drill stems [Wszolek and Burlingame, 1973]. All of the evidence from the Apollo missions suggests that methane is formed on the Moon and is likely to be a lunar soil chemistry product from the solar wind implanted carbon and hydrogen [Pillinger et al., 1977]. Recent work by Crider and Vondrak [2000] suggests that the solar wind origin is also possible for hydrogen deposits detected at the lunar poles (cold traps). However, over time there could have been additional sources of volatiles in the polar regions, such as comets, micrometeorites, and magnetospheric fluxes. Keeping this in mind, it is natural to check if the compound, which can be formed out of methane gas and water ice, is stable on the Moon.

The temperature in the polar, permanently shadowed craters was estimated to be <102 K [Igersoll et al., 1992; Vasavada et al., 1999, and references therein]. We have calculated the depth needed to stabilize the clathrates within permanently shadowed lunar craters. For the temperature  $T=100~\rm K$ ,  $\rm CH_4 \cdot 6H_2O$  is stable at the methane gas partial pressure  $P \ge 2.1 \times 10^{-5}~\rm bars = 2.1~\rm Pa = P_{\rm stabilizing}$  [Lunine and Stevenson, 1985; Berecz and Balla-Achs, 1983, p. 61; Makogon, 1981, p. 5; Miller, 1961, p. 1803]. Since the system is in equilibrium, this partial pressure should be equal to the lithostatic pressure of the impermeable "lid," which could be water ice or permafrost (water ice in pores). The experiments by Wright et al. [1998] on methane hydrate formation and dissociation showed that (T, P) equilibrium conditions for hydrate stability in porous media are only slightly offset from values determined for pure-system hydrates.

We took the density of the "lid"  $\rho = \rho_{\text{pure water ice}} = 900 \text{ kg/m}^3$  (the worst case scenario since the permafrost density is greater). The condition of the equilibrium with the upper water-ice seal is given by

$$P_{\text{stabilizing}} = P_{\text{CH}_4} = P_{\text{lithostatic}} = \rho g h,$$

where the lunar acceleration of gravity  $g=1.62 \text{ m/s}^2$ . This gives a depth of  $\sim 1.5 \text{ mm}$ . Clathrate hydrates, moreover, can exist at greater depths in a shallow lunar subsurface, where the temperature does not increase significantly with depth. For a lunar internal heat flow of  $\sim 22 \times 10^{-3} \text{ W/m}^2$  [Heiken et al., 1991] and a thermal conductivity of ice at 100 K of  $\sim 1.76 \text{ W/mk}$ , the temperature increases only by  $\sim 0.1 \text{ K}$  at a depth of 8 m within the permanently shadowed craters. The lack of a fast-neutron signature [Feldman et al., 1998] rules against surface hydrogen-rich layers.

The  $\rm H_2O$  ice seal can form as a result of the upper clathrate dissociation (the effect of self-preservation; experiments by *Ershov et al.* [1991]; *Sloan* [1998, p. 19]). These experiments were performed with both  $\rm CO_2$  and  $\rm CH_4$  clathrate hydrates. The upper water-ice (or permafrost) seal makes the system closed and does not allow  $\rm CH_4$  molecules to diffuse into the near-vacuum conditions, as they would through the permeable lunar regolith.

In addition to a solar wind origin, CH<sub>4</sub> may also have been brought by comets, cometesimals, and meteorites, especially because we are looking in craters (formed by impacts), and the impactors could have delivered both water and methane. Upon impact the material vaporizes and releases volatiles both from the impactor and from the lunar material. Although some of the gases may escape, others remain and can migrate to cold traps [Crider and Vondrak, 2000]. After a cometary impact, surface/subsurface CH<sub>4</sub> (Apollo samples containing CH<sub>4</sub> were taken from different depths) may become covered with water ice, since the impactor contained water ice, which has sublimed upon impact and redeposited (one of the factors in this process is the collision between particles after the impact).

Since methane on the Moon (at least part of it) is a soil chemistry product from the solar wind implanted H and C, it is constantly being replenished on the surface by the solar wind (a slow but steady source) and then buried deeper by meteoroid bombardment, including micrometeoroides, which constantly rework and mix the lunar soil; that is, lunar gardening occurs [Heiken et al., 1991, pp. 45–47]. The CH<sub>4</sub> gas, buried under water ice (or permafrost), would diffuse into the water

ice and, under the stabilizing (T,P) conditions, which we have calculated to be possible on the Moon, would form clathrate. There were suggestions that some of the lunar volatiles on the surfaces of lunar soil grains might be of volcanic origin [Heiken et al., 1991, pp. 311 and 315, and references therein]. Also, codeposition of  $\mathrm{CH}_4$  molecules and water ice molecules in near-vacuum conditions is possible.

For comparison at a higher temperature, methane hydrate is stable at  $T=148.8~\rm K$  under the partial pressure  $P=5.3\times 10^3~\rm Pa$  [Falabella, 1975; Sloan, 1998, p. 315]. Under the assumption of minimum density (900 kg/m³ for water ice) this gives a depth h of ~3.3 m. (Not all of the upper layer has to be water ice or permafrost.) Since the triple point of methane is (90.7 K, 12,159 Pa) and, at an even higher temperature of 100 K, the methane gas partial pressure for the formation of this clathrate hydrate is only 2.1 Pa, methane clathrate hydrate is very stable relative to solid or liquid methane.

Carbon dioxide clathrate hydrate is stable at T=151.5 K under the partial P=535 Pa [Miller and Smythe, 1970; Sloan, 1998, p. 333]. Again, assuming the water-ice density, the minimum stability depth on the Moon for  $CO_2 \cdot 6H_2O$  is calculated to be  $\sim$ 38 cm. Moreover, this holds for permafrost because it has a higher density.

Laboratory measurements of the kinetics of  $CO_2$  clathrate formation by *Saji et al.* [1995] showed that the formation rate becomes lower with increasing pressure. This is important for the lunar case, where the stabilizing depth is small; thus shallow clathrate hydrate forms faster compared to the hydrate formation at greater depths.

If the surface temperature in lunar craters is lower than used in our calculations, then even less pressure is needed to stabilize clathrate hydrate, and hence they can exist at even shallower depths. In connection with the previous suggestions to use water ice deposits as a source of fuel, the possible near-surface methane clathrate hydrate deposits are even more useful. We underline that  $CH_4 \cdot 6H_2O$  contains not only a fuel but oxygen in  $H_2O$  to burn the fuel. Moreover,  $CH_4$  is the most efficient fuel, since the carbon in it is in the most reduced state.

The experiments by *Ershov et al.* [1991] showed that the (T, P) conditions from the stability diagram are necessary for the clathrate hydrate formation but not for its existence, if it has already been formed. This is due to the fact that upon the dissociation of the external layer of clathrate hydrate, water ice (the case when T < 0°C) and gas are generated. The newly produced external layer of water ice seals and thermally insulates the clathrate hydrate and hydrate stabilizes [*Sloan*, 1998, p. 19].

Since seismic and electrical properties of methane clathrate hydrate and water ice are similar, standard geophysical methods are not applicable (including radar observations) to discriminate between those substances. We suggest an experiment for detecting subsurface clathrate hydrate by forced dissociation of the lunar material and then determination of the ratio and the composition of the released gases. In situ clathrate hydrate detection techniques can use the property that the thermal conductivity of methane clathrate hydrate is about five times lower than that of water ice.

We also propose observations to identify methane clathrate to be performed on the Moon by mounting a high-resolution spectrometer on a descent vehicle with a light source and then comparing the reflectance spectra. Since the clathrate can be stable only in the subsurface (though it may be very shallow from our model calculations), one has first to expose the clathrate (e.g., by an impact and the clathrate will begin to dissociate). The suggested near-IR region to distinguish between methane clathrate and water ice is at ~2.3 microns. These reflectance spectra are from the laboratory measurements of Smythe [1979, pp. 41-42, Figure VIa].

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank W. Smythe (Jet Propulsion Laboratory) and M. Goldfeld (California Institute of Technology) for useful discussions and T. Roush (NASA Ames Center) for a constructive review. This research was carried out at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, under a contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

## References

- Abell, P. I., P. H. Cadogan, G. Eglington, J. R. Maxwell, and C. T. Pillinger, Survey of lunar carbon compounds, I, The presence of indigenous gases and hydrolysable carbon compounds in Apollo 11 and Apollo 12 samples, Proc. Lunar Sci. Conf. 2nd, 1843–1863, 1971.
- Barker, E. S., D. B. Goldstein, J. V. Austin, and R. S. Nerem, Lunar Prospector's impact in a cold trap to detect water ice, Paper presented at the American Astronomical Society's Division for Planetary Sciences Meeting, Padua, Italy, Oct. 1999.
- Berecz, E., and M. Balla-Achs, Gas Hydrates, 343 pp., Elsevier Sci., New York, 1983.
- Cadogan, P. H., G. Eglington, J. R. Maxwell, and C. T. Pillinger, Carbon chemistry of the lunar surface, Nature, 231, 29–31, 1971.
- Cadogan, P. H., G. Eglington, J. N. M. Firth, J. R. Maxwell, B. J. Mays, and C. T. Pillinger, Survey of lunar carbon compounds, II, The carbon chemistry of Apollo 11, 12, 14 and 15 samples (abstract), Third Lunar Science Conference, pp. 113-115, NASA, Houston Tex., 1972.
- Crider, D. H., and R. R. Vondrak, The solar wind as a possible source of lunar polar hydrogen deposits, J. Geophys. Res., 105(E11), 26,773-26,782, 2000.
- Ershov, E. D., Y. P. Lebedenko, E. M. Chuvilin, and V. S. Yakushev, Peculiarities of gas hydrates' existence in the outer space (abstract), in Brown-Vernadsky Microsymposium on Comparative Planetology, pp. 25-26, Vernadsky Inst. of Geochem. and Anal. Chem., Russ. Acad. of Sci., Moscow, 1991.
- Falabella, B. J., A study of natural gas hydrates, dissertation, Univ. of Mass., Amherst, 1975.
- Feldman, W. C., C. Maurice, A. B. Binder, B. L. Barraclough, R. C. Elphic, and D. J. Lawrence, Fluxes of fast and epithermal neutrons from Lunar Prospector: Evidence for water ice at the lunar poles, Science, 281, 1496-1500, 1998.
- Heiken, G. H., D. T. Vaniman, and B. M. French (Eds.), Lunar Sourcebook, 736 pp., Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 1991.
- Holder, G. D., and P. R. Bishnoi (Eds.), Gas Hydrates: Challanges for the Future, Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci., 912, 1044 pp., 2000.
- Ingersoll, A. P., T. Svitek, and B. C. Murray, Stability of polar frosts in

- spherical bowl-shaped craters on the Moon, Mercury and Mars, Icarus, 100, 40-47, 1992.
- Lunine, J. I., and D. J. Stevenson, Thermodynamics of clathrate hydrate at low and high pressures with application to the outer solar system, Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser., 58, 493-531, 1985.
- Makogon, Y. F., Hydrates of Natural Gas, 237 pp., Penn Well, Tulsa, Okla., 1981.
- Miller, S. L., The occurrence of gas hydrates in the outer solar system, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 47, 1798-1807, 1961.
- Miller, S. L., and W. D. Smythe, Carbon dioxide clathrate in the Martian polar cap, Science, 170, 531-533, 1970.
- NASA, No water ice detected from Lunar Prospector impact, Press Release 99-63, Ames Res. Cent., Moffett Field, Calif., Oct. 25, 1999.
- Nozette, S., et al., The Clementine mission to the Moon: Scientific
- overview, *Science*, 266, 1835–1839, 1994. Pillinger, C. T., G. Eglington, A. P. Gowar, A. J. T. Jull, and J. R. Maxwell, An exposure history of the Apollo 16 site: An assessment based on methane and hydrolysable carbon, in Proceedings of the Soviet-American Conference on Cosmochemistry of the Moon and Planets Held in Moscow in June 4-8 1974, pp. 541-551, NASA, U.S. Govt. Print. Off., Washington, D. C., 1977.
- Saji, A., H. Yoshida, M. Sakai, T. Tanii, T. Kamata, and H. Kitamura, Fixation of carbon dioxide by clathrate-hydrate, Energy Conserv. Manage., 33, 643-649, 1992
- Sloan, E. D., Jr., Clathrate Hydrates of Natural Gases, 705 pp., Marcel Dekker, New York, 1998.
- Smythe, W. D., The detectability of clathrate hydrates in the outer solar system, Ph.D. dissertation, 129 pp., Univ. of Calif., Los Angeles, 1979.
- Tipenko, G. S., N. V. Seregina, N. N. Romanovsky, and I. A. Komarov, Mathematical modeling of permafrost rocks and natural gas hydrate pool interaction (English translation), Moscow Univ. Geol. Bull., 45(2), pp. 67–77, Moscow, 1990.
- Vasavada, A. R., D. A. Paige, and S. E. Wood, Near-surface temperatures on Mercury and the Moon and the stability of polar ice deposits, Icarus, 141, 179-193, 1999.
- Wright, J. F., E. M. Chuvilin, S. R. Dallimore, V. S. Yakushev, and F. M. Nixon, Methane hydrate formation and dissociation in fine sands at temperature near 0 deg C, paper presented at 7th International Permafrost Conference, Int. Permafrost Assoc., Yellow Knife, Northwest Territories, Canada, 1998.
- Wszolek, P. C., and A. L. Burlingame, Carbon chemistry of the Apollo 15 and 16 deep drill cores, Proc. Lunar Sci. Conf. 4th, 1681–1692, 1973.
- N. S. Duxbury and K. H. Nealson, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, 4800 Oak Grove Drive, Mail Stop 183-501, Pasadena, CA 91109, USA. (nsd@scn1.jpl.nasa.gov)
- V. E. Romanovsky, Geophysical Institute, University of Alaska, Fairbanks, AK 99701.

(Received November 13, 2000; revised June 13, 2001; accepted July 30, 2001.)